Pretrial Fairness Act (PFA) Weekly Dashboard

September 18, 2023 – September 13, 2025

The Pretrial Fairness Act (PFA) Dashboard is a cumulative summary of initial decision points for criminal cases filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County since the Pretrial Fairness Act took effect on September 18, 2023. While the dashboard presents data, it should not be considered an analysis of the effectiveness of the Pretrial Fairness Act compared to the criminal justice system prior to the Act.

Data sources are: administrative data from the Enterprise Justice Case Management System (CMS) maintained by the Clerk of the Circuit Court; Public Safety Assessments; assignments to and weekly caseloads for pretrial supervision, Home Confinement Unit (HCU) – Curfew Program, and the Domestic Violence Exclusion Zone Program all collected and maintained by Adult Probation's Pretrial Services Unit and the Social Services Department; and publicly available information on the daily jail and Sheriff's electronic monitoring program. Summary data for the dashboard are compiled by staff from the Office of the Chief Judge.¹

The dashboard reports:

- The volume and top charge composition of criminal misdemeanor, domestic violence, and felony cases filed since the PFA effective date.
- Three major pretrial decision points:
 - law enforcement's decision to release with a citation or hold for first appearance,
 - the Cook County State's Attorney Office (SAO) decision to file a petition to detain for cases in which there is a detention eligible charge, and
 - the Court's decision to grant or deny the state's petitions to detain.²
- Two measures for individuals released during their pretrial period:
 - Court Appearance Rates and
 - Community Safety Rates
- Adult Probation Department Pretrial Services and Social Services Department activity:
 - Number of completed Public Safety Assessments and
 - Pretrial Services caseload dynamics
- Changes in the Cook County Sheriff's custodial population.

¹ Each week, OCJ rebuilds cumulative numbers with the addition of a new week of data. However, all differences in the cumulative data in Tables 1 through 4 and Figures 1A through 7 from the current week and the prior week will not be due entirely to case activities that occurred in the new week. Lag in data entry will account for a small portion of this difference. Improvements in the programming that processes Clerk data will also account for some week over week differences in new filings, top offense, and other dashboard measures.

² Formally, detention eligible charges are those in 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1 Sections (a)(1) non-probationable felony based on charge/background; (a) (1.5) forcible felony; (a)(2) stalking; (a)(3) violation of a protective order; (a)(4) domestic battery/aggravated domestic battery, (a)(5) sex offense; (a)(6)-(a)(6.5) other qualifying offense; (a)(7) attempt of (a)(1)-(6.5); (a)(8) willful flight. The SAO may petition for detention because the defendant poses a real threat to persons or the community and/or there is a high likelihood of willful flight. To grant 725 ILCS 5/110-10 (b) can mixed a real and present threat to the safety to person(s) or the community and/or there is a high likelihood of willful flight; and no condition or combination of conditions or combination of conditions or sess with detention eligible charges for threat of safety per 5/110-6.1 that do not require reference to criminal history or underlying facts or cases for which the SAO petitions for detention. Petitions for risk of flight only are exceedingly rare.

Table 1 shows the composition of all criminal cases filed since PFA effective date.


- To date, 129,064 criminal cases have been filed and recorded in the Enterprise Justice CMS. 42% of all filings had a top charge of misdemeanor/other, 21% were domestic violence cases, and 37% were felony cases.
- The first appearance hearing for 60% (77,020) of criminal cases was in District One, 14% (17,921) were in the Domestic Violence Division, and the remaining 26% (34,123) were in Districts Two through Six.

Table 1. Criminal Cases Filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County Since the Pretrial Fairness Act Effective Date by First Appearance Location and Top Filing Charge Level: 9/18/2023 – 9/13/2025

		Top Filing Charge Level									
First Appearance Hearing Location*	Cases Filed	Misd./	Other**	Dom. Vic	olence***	Felony					
		Row Count	Row Percent	Row Count	Row Percent	Row Count	Row Percent				
District One	77,020	36,614	48%	8,274	11%	32,132	42%				
Domestic Violence Division	17,921	207	1%	17,630	98%	84	<1%				
District Two	4,529	1,904	42%	22	<1%	2,603	57%				
District Three	5,931	2,935	49%	157	3%	2,839	48%				
District Four	7,488	3,857	52%	68	1%	3,563	48%				
District Five	7,732	4,412	57%	197	3%	3,123	40%				
District Six	8,443	4,863	58%	273	3%	3,307	39%				
Total by Top Filing Charge	129,064	54,792	42%	26,621	21%	47,641	37%				

^{*} First appearances on weekends and holiday weekdays are conducted in the Leighton Criminal Courthouse.

Figure 1 summarizes top filing charge offense category among the criminal cases filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County since the PFA effective date.



PFA Dashboard - Publish Date 09/18/2025

^{**} In most instances, 'other' charges are misdemeanors or less often felonies with insufficient information to permit algorithmic classification. Manual classification of these charges is not feasible.

^{***} Domestic violence cases have a 'DV' case type designation and are criminal actions that involve a relationship defined by the Illinois Domestic Violence Act Domestic violence cases are Class 1, 2, and 3 felonies through preliminary hearing, class 4 felonies, and misdemeanors. Of 26,621 cases with this designation, 1,655 (6%) were felonies, 24,811 (93%) were misdemeanors, and 155 (1%) were unknown class.


Decision Point 1: Law Enforcement Decision to Cite and Release or to Hold for First Appearance Hearing


Figure 2A summarizes outcomes at the first PFA decision point (decision by law enforcement to cite and release or to hold for first appearance hearing) for

criminal cases filed since the PFA effective date.

Among criminal cases filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County since the PFA effective date:

- 42% of the cases were cited and released by law enforcement.
- 57% of cases were held by law enforcement for a first appearance hearing:
 - 26% of cases were held on a non-detainable charge.
 - 31% of cases were held on a detainable charge.
- 1% of cases were initiated via information or indictment.
 These cases are not included in Figure 2B.





PFA Dashboard – Publish Date 09/18/2025 Page 3 of 9

Figure 3 summarizes outcomes for criminal cases that were held for a first appearance hearing by law enforcement in the Circuit Court of Cook County since PFA effective date:

• 46% of the cases held by law enforcement had only nondetention eligible charges, and these cases were released with conditions at the first appearance hearing.

Petitions for Detention Filed by Cook County SAO

Among the 39,959 criminal cases held for a first appearance hearing with a detention eligible charge.

- 62% did not have a petition for detention filed by the Cook County SAO and were released with conditions at the first appearance hearing.
- 38% of cases had a petition for detention filed by the Cook County SAO and moved directly to a detention hearing.




Table 2 summarizes the frequency with which the Cook County SAO filed a verified petition for detention, by most serous filing charge, for cases held for a first appearance hearing and that had a detention-eligible charge. For these cases:

- 26% of the misdemeanor cases had a petition for detention filed.
- 58% of the felony cases had a petition for detention filed.
- 24% of the domestic violence cases had a petition for detention filed.

Table 2. Outcomes for Cases Held by Law Enforcement for First Appearance Hearing in the Circuit Court of Cook County by Most Serious Charge: 9/18/23 - 9/13/2025

Hold by Law Enforcement for First Annearance Hearing	Misd./C	ther	Dom. Violence		Felony		Overall	
Held by Law Enforcement for First Appearance Hearing	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent
 Held with Non-Detainable Charge, Released w/Conditions 	14,468	98%	2,785	11%	16,232	49%	33,485	46%
Held with Detainable Charge	267	2%	23,007	89%	16,685	51%	39,959	54%
Total Held for First Appearance Hearing	14,735	100%	25,792	100%	32,917	100%	73,444	100%
SAO Decision to File a Petition for Detention	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent	Column Count	Column Percent
 Petition to Detain Filed by SAO 	69	26%	5,633	24%	9,649	58%	15,351	38%
Petition to Detain Not Filed by SAO	198	74%	17,374	76%	7,036	42%	24,608	62%
Total Held with a Detainable Charge	267	100%	23,007	100%	16,685	100%	39,959	100%

Figure 4A summarizes detention hearing outcomes for cases that had a verified petition for detention filed by the SAO at the first appearance hearing.

 Three out of five (62%) detention petitions filed at first appearance were granted and defendant was held in custody.





Figure 4B summarizes outcomes for cases held by a petition for a detention hearing filed by the SAO, by top filing charge.



PFA Dashboard – Publish Date 09/18/2025

Court Appearance Rate Among Criminal Cases Filed and Released Pretrial Since PFA Effective Date

Figure 5 depicts the preliminary court appearance rate for defendants on pretrial release since the PFA effective date.³

Of the 118,379 criminal defendants on pretrial release, a subset of 116,660 defendants with an initial hearing scheduled on or before September 13, 2025 was used to calculate the court appearance rate in Figure 5 and Table 3.

- 87% of criminal defendants have not had a warrant for failure to appear issued for non- appearance at scheduled court date.
- 13% of criminal defendants have missed a scheduled hearing date and the court has issued a warrant for failure to appear.⁴




Table 3 summarizes court appearance rate by stage at which defendant was released pretrial and top filing charge.

Table 3. Court Appearance Rate for Defendants with a Case Filed and Released Pretrial with an Initial Hearing Scheduled on or Before 9/13/2025

Misd./Other		Dom. Violence			Felony			Overall				
Pretrial Release via:	Total Pretrial	Court Appearance		Total Pretrial	Court Appearance Rate		Total Pretrial	Court Appearance Rate		Total Pretrial	Court Appearance Rate	
	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate
■ Cite & Release by Law Enforcement	38,375	32,995	86%	583	530	91%	13,937	11,019	79%	52,895	44,544	84%
Held for First Appearance or Detention Hearing												
■ Non-Detainable, Released at 1st App. w/Conditions	14,457	12,967	90%	2,782	2,577	93%	16,217	12,960	80%	33,456	28,504	85%
 Detainable w/No Pet. for Det. Released at 1st App w/Conditions 	198	188	95%	17,356	16,384	94%	7,018	6,186	88%	24,572	22,758	93%
 Detainable, Pet for Det. Denied, Released at Det. Hearing w/Conditions 	41	39	95%	2,822	2,645	94%	2,874	2,615	91%	5,737	5,299	92%
Total on Pretrial Release with an Initial Hearing Scheduled Date	53,071	46,189	87%	23,543	22,136	94%	40,046	32,780	82%	116,660	101,105	87%

³ Consistent with 725 ILCS 5/110-3, a warrant not quashed on the date of issuance that is in response to a non-appearance is considered a failure to appear.


⁴ This is a point-in- time measure that does not adjust for defendants' time on pretrial release. The rate of missing a scheduled hearing date may increase with the length of time that defendants remain in the community prior to case disposition.

Community Safety Rate Among Criminal Cases Filed and Released Pretrial Since PFA Effective Date

Figure 6 depicts the community safety rate for defendants on pretrial release since the PFA effective date.

From the PFA effective date to September 13, 2025:

- 84% of criminal defendants have not been charged with a new misdemeanor or felony offense while on pretrial release.⁵
- 94% have not been charged with any new violent or person crimes while on pretrial release.



⁵ This is a point in time measure that does not adjust for defendants' time on pretrial release. OCJ uses case filing date as the new criminal activity date. The rate of new criminal activity may increase with the length of time that defendants remain in the community prior to case disposition.


PFA Dashboard – Publish Date 09/18/2025

Table 4 summarizes community safety rate by stage at which defendant was released pretrial and top filing charge.

Table 4. Community Safety Rate for Defendants with a Case Filed and Released Pretrial 9/18/24 – 9/13/2025

	Misd./Other		Dom. Violence			Felony			Overall			
Pretrial Release via:	Total Pretrial	Comm Safety	Rate	Total Pretrial	Comm Safety	Rate	Total Pretrial	Comm Safety	Raté	Total Pretrial	Comm Safety	Rate
	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate	Release	Number	Rate
Cite & Release by Law Enforcement	39,846	33,068	83%	585	511	87%	14,109	11,911	84%	54,540	45,490	83%
Held for First Appearance Hearing												
 Non-Detainable, Released at 1st App. w/Conditions 	14,468	11,439	79%	2,785	2,428	87%	16,232	12,452	77%	33,485	26,319	79%
 Detainable w/No Pet. for Det. Released at 1st App w/Conditions 	198	166	84%	17,374	15,902	92%	7,036	5,951	85%	24,608	22,019	89%
 Detainable, Pet for Det. Denied, Released at Det. Hearing w/Conditions 	41	24	59%	2,826	2,500	88%	2,879	2,494	87%	5,746	5,018	87%
Total on Pretrial Release with an Initial Hearing Scheduled Date	54,553	44,697	82%	23,570	21,341	91%	40,256	32,808	81%	118,379	98,846	83%

Figure 7 summarizes community safety rate by top filing charge and new alleged crime.



Adult Probation Department (APD) Pretrial Services Since PFA Effective Date

In Cook County, Pretrial Services completes Public Safety Assessments (PSA) and monitors defendants ordered to pretrial supervision, which includes two separate electronic monitoring programs operated by APD's Home Confinement Unit (HCU) - the Curfew Program and the Domestic Violence (DV) Exclusion Zone Program.⁶

Figure 8 provides a cumulative count of the number of PSAs that have been completed since the PFA effective date.

Table 5 shows the cumulative population dynamics and the percent change in the pretrial services population since the PFA effective date.⁷

The overall pretrial services population **increased 75%** from 6,432 on September 17, 2023 to 11,273 on September 13, 2025.

- The supervision only population increased 78%
- The daily HCU Curfew population increased 105%
- The daily HCU DV Exclusion Zone population increased 24%.




Table 5. Pretrial Services Population Dynamics Since the PFA Effective Date

Pretrial Services Population By Type	Population on 9/17/23	Placed on PT	Exits from PT	Population on 9/13/25	Percent Change
Overall Population	6,432	44,376	39,535	11,273	↑75%
 Pretrial Supervision Only 	4,716	35,052	31,385	8,383	↑78%
 HCU Curfew Program 	934	7,861	6,878	1,917	105%
 HCU DV Exclusion Zone 	782	1,463	1,272	973	↑24%

Cook County Jail Population Change Since PFA Effective Date

Table 6 provides the percentage change in the population under the custody of the Sheriff since the PFA effective date.

Since PFA effective date, the number of defendants in Sheriff's custody has **decreased 7**% from 7,265 on September 17, 2023 to 6,760 on September 13, 2025.

- The jail's daily confined population on the two snapshot days increased by 10% from 5,419 to 5,977
- The Sheriff's Community Corrections (Electronic Monitoring) population decreased 58% from 1,846 to 783.

Table 6. Percent Change in the Population Under the Custody of the Sheriff's Office Since the PFA Effective Date

Population Type	Under Cu Sherit 9/17/23	· ·	Percent Change	
Total Under Sheriff Custody	7,265	6,760	[↓] 7%	
 Confined Population 	5,419	5,977	10%	
 Community Corrections (Sheriff's EM) 	1,846	783	[↓] 58%	

⁶ The Adult Probation Department's Home Confinement Unit (HCU) operates two separate electronic monitoring programs for two distinct populations, the Curfew Program and the Domestic Violence Exclusion Zone Program (previously known as the HCU Bischof Program). Neither system is superior to the other, but they are appropriate for different purposes. The Curfew program uses both radio frequency ("RF") and Global Positioning Systems ("GPS") technology to monitor and enforce curfews that are a condition of release or probation. The DV Exclusion Zone program operates under the authority of the Cindy Bischof Law, and is designed to provide a layer of protection for victims of certain domestic violence offenses. This program uses a GPS ankle bracelet to continuously monitor the defendant's whereabouts.

⁷ Each week, OCJ adds new program data to the cumulative counts in Table 4. However, all differences in the cumulative data between the current week and prior weeks are not due entirely to new activity. Delays in entry and corrections to GPS and Curfew activation data contribute to these differences. Some small fraction of the pretrial population will be on warrant status.